

REMARKS

Claims 11-14 and 22 stand rejected under 35 USC 102 over Pitesky. Applicant gratefully acknowledges that the examiner has allowed claims 23 and 24 and has indicated that claims 16 and 18-21 contain allowable subject matter.

Claim 11 has been amended to include the features of claim 19. Since applicant previously amended claim 11 to include the features of claim 14 in reliance on the examiner's previous indication that claim 14 was allowable, but the examiner now rejects claim 11, including the features of claim 14, applicant has deleted the features of claim 14 from claim 11. Applicant believes that the subject matter of claim 11, as now amended to include the features of claim 19, is not disclosed or suggested by Pitesky. Therefore, claim 11 is patentable and it follows that the dependent claims 12-14, 16, 18 and 20-22 also are patentable.

New claims 25-30 dependent on the allowed claim 24 and new claims 31-32 dependent on the allowed claim 23 are also submitted at this time. Since claims 23 and 24 are patentable, it follows that the dependent claims 25-32 also are patentable.

Respectfully submitted,



John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
16100 N.W. Cornell Road, Suite 220
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197
Docket: RANT 3207